



A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PHYSICAL FITNESS COMPONENTS AMONG RURAL AND URBAN SCHOOL ATHLETES

¹Yashwant Shokhanda, ²Dr. Rakesh Kumar

¹Research Scholar, ²Research Supervisor

¹⁻²Department of Physical Science, Sabarmati University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 382481

Abstract

The purpose of study is to compare selected components of physical fitness among rural and urban school athletes and to interpret the possible environmental, socioeconomic, lifestyle, and training-related factors associated with observed differences. Physical fitness is multidimensional; therefore, the analysis focuses on speed, muscular strength and endurance, explosive power, agility, flexibility, and cardiorespiratory endurance. An illustrative cross-sectional dataset of 120 school athletes aged 14–17 years is used, comprising 60 rural and 60 urban athletes with comparable representation by sex and age. Standard field tests are modeled: 50-m sprint, standing broad jump, sit-ups in 60 seconds, 4 × 10-m shuttle run, sit-and-reach, and 12-minute run. Descriptive statistics, independent-samples t-tests, percentage differences, and Cohen's d effect sizes are used to examine group differences. The model results suggest that rural athletes perform better in cardiorespiratory endurance and muscular endurance, while urban athletes show modest advantages in sprint speed, agility, and flexibility. Explosive power is broadly comparable. The pattern is interpreted as the possible outcome of different daily activity profiles: rural athletes may accumulate more habitual movement and endurance-oriented activity, whereas urban athletes may have greater access to structured coaching, specialized facilities, and skill-specific training. However, the analysis emphasizes that residence itself should not be treated as a biological cause. School resources, nutrition, training age, transportation, screen time, opportunities for free play, and socioeconomic conditions may mediate or confound the relationship.

The study concludes that rural and urban school athletes may possess different fitness strengths rather than a simple overall superiority of one group. Schools should therefore use component-specific testing and context-sensitive training programs. Future research should employ larger probability samples, objective physical-activity monitoring, dietary assessment, maturity status, and multivariate statistical controls.

Keywords: rural athletes, urban athletes, school sports, physical fitness, endurance, agility, speed, comparative analysis.

1. Introduction

Physical fitness is a central determinant of athletic participation, health, motor competence, and performance during adolescence. School athletes are especially important to study because adolescence is a period of rapid physical growth, neuromuscular development, changing body composition, and increasing specialization in sport. Fitness during these years is shaped not only by genetics and maturation but also by physical activity, diet, training exposure, social opportunity, school facilities, and the built environment. Consequently, comparisons between rural and urban school athletes can provide useful information for physical education teachers, coaches, administrators, and public-health planners. Rural and urban environments can create distinct patterns of movement. Rural students may walk or cycle longer distances, participate in agricultural or household work, and have more access to open spaces for unstructured activity. At the same time, rural schools may have fewer specialized coaches, synthetic tracks, gymnasiums, strength-training equipment, or organized competitions. Urban students may experience the reverse



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

pattern: less incidental activity because of motorized transport and dense built environments, but better access in some settings to sports academies, fitness centers, coaching, and competitive leagues. These contrasts are not universal, yet they provide a plausible framework for examining differences in fitness components.

A useful comparison must avoid reducing physical fitness to a single score. An athlete may have high endurance but average flexibility, or excellent speed but lower muscular endurance. For this reason, the present study examines multiple components. It also distinguishes statistical significance from practical importance. A small difference may be statistically detectable in a large sample but have little relevance for training, whereas a moderate effect may deserve attention even if a particular sample lacks statistical power.

1.1 Purpose of the Study

The primary purpose is to compare selected physical fitness components among rural and urban school athletes and to interpret the pattern of differences through an ecological and training-based perspective. A secondary purpose is to demonstrate an analytical framework that can be adapted to actual school-based data.

2. Review of Related Literature

The scientific literature generally treats physical fitness as a set of measurable attributes related to health and athletic performance. Cardiorespiratory endurance reflects the integrated ability of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems to sustain prolonged activity. Muscular strength and endurance describe the ability to produce and repeatedly sustain force. Speed and agility depend on neuromuscular coordination, force production, technique, and reaction to movement demands. Flexibility reflects joint range of motion, while explosive power combines strength with rapid force production.

Environmental context can influence these attributes through daily behavior. Research on youth physical activity has repeatedly shown that access to recreation spaces, school programs, active transportation, family support, socioeconomic status, and screen-based sedentary behavior can affect activity levels. Rural residence may be associated with greater outdoor space but also with longer travel distances and fewer organized programs. Urban residence may offer greater facility density while simultaneously creating barriers such as traffic, limited safe play areas, academic pressure, and sedentary transport. Thus, the rural–urban distinction should be understood as a proxy for a bundle of exposures rather than as an isolated causal variable.

Studies comparing rural and urban youth have produced mixed results across countries. Some report higher habitual activity or endurance among rural children, while others find urban advantages associated with sports infrastructure and organized training. Differences also vary by sex, age, socioeconomic status, and regional development. These inconsistencies suggest that the direction of a rural–urban fitness gap is context dependent. For school athletes, selection effects add another layer: students who join teams are already more active than their non-athlete peers, and the quality of coaching may influence fitness more strongly than residence.

A further methodological issue is biological maturation. Two athletes of the same chronological age may differ substantially in pubertal development, height, body mass, and muscle mass. These factors can affect sprinting, jumping, and endurance. Therefore, strong research designs should measure or estimate maturity status and consider body composition when comparing groups. The present model analysis acknowledges these limitations and treats the findings as an analytical illustration rather than proof of universal rural–urban differences.

3. Objectives, Research Questions and Hypotheses



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

3.1 Objectives

The present study is undertaken with the following objectives:

1. To compare the sprint speed of rural and urban school athletes.
2. To compare the lower-body explosive power of rural and urban school athletes.
3. To compare abdominal muscular endurance of rural and urban school athletes.
4. To compare the agility of rural and urban school athletes.
5. To compare the flexibility of rural and urban school athletes.

3.2 Research Questions

- Is there a significant difference in sprint speed between rural and urban school athletes?
- Is there a significant difference in lower-body explosive power between rural and urban school athletes?
- Is there a significant difference in abdominal muscular endurance between rural and urban school athletes?
- Is there a significant difference in agility between rural and urban school athletes?
- Is there a significant difference in flexibility between rural and urban school athletes?

3.3 Hypotheses

- **H₀₁:** There is no statistically significant difference in sprint speed between rural and urban school athletes.
- **H₁₁:** There is a statistically significant difference in sprint speed between rural and urban school athletes.
- **H₀₂:** There is no statistically significant difference in lower-body explosive power between rural and urban school athletes.
- **H₁₂:** There is a statistically significant difference in lower-body explosive power between rural and urban school athletes.

3.4 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual model proposes that place of residence influences fitness indirectly through several mediators: habitual physical activity, active transport, free-play opportunities, school sports infrastructure, access to coaching, competitive exposure, nutrition, sleep, and sedentary behavior. Family income and parental support may modify these pathways. Biological maturation, sex, age, body size, and sport type may confound observed associations. The dependent variables are the measured fitness components. This framework cautions against attributing every group difference directly to rural or urban residence.

Accordingly, the most defensible interpretation is comparative rather than deterministic. The analysis asks what pattern appears in the sample and what factors may account for it, not whether rurality or urbanity inherently produces a particular athletic capacity.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design and Sample

A comparative cross-sectional design is modeled. The illustrative sample consists of 120 school athletes aged 14–17 years: 60 from rural schools and 60 from urban schools. Athletes are assumed to have participated in organized school sport for at least one academic year and to be free from injury at the time of testing. Equal group sizes improve the



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

clarity of comparison and reduce imbalance in standard-error estimates.

4.2 Fitness Tests

Component	Field Test	Performance Indicator
Speed	50-m sprint	Lower time = better
Explosive power	Standing broad jump	Greater distance = better
Muscular endurance	Sit-ups in 60 s	More repetitions = better
Agility	4 × 10-m shuttle run	Lower time = better
Flexibility	Sit-and-reach	Greater reach = better
Cardiorespiratory endurance	12-minute run	Greater distance = better

Testing should ideally be conducted on comparable surfaces and at similar times of day. Athletes should complete a standardized 10–15 minute warm-up, receive the same instructions, and be given consistent recovery between trials. Sprint, agility, and jump tests may be repeated, with the best valid attempt recorded according to the selected protocol.

4.3 Statistical Analysis

For each component, the mean and standard deviation summarize central tendency and variability. Independent-samples t-tests evaluate rural–urban mean differences at an alpha level of .05. Cohen’s d is used to express standardized effect size, interpreted approximately as 0.20 small, 0.50 moderate, and 0.80 large. Percentage differences are also considered because coaches often find raw-unit changes easier to interpret. Statistical significance is not treated as the sole criterion of importance. Because the dataset is illustrative, the numerical results demonstrate how an empirical study may be structured. They must not be represented as observations collected from real participants.

5. Results: Descriptive Comparison

Fitness variable	Rural Mean ± SD	Urban Mean ± SD	Apparent advantage
50-m sprint (s)	7.46 ± 0.54	7.25 ± 0.49	Urban
Standing broad jump (cm)	188.4 ± 21.6	192.1 ± 20.8	Urban, slight
Sit-ups / 60 s (reps)	39.8 ± 6.1	36.9 ± 6.4	Rural
4 × 10-m shuttle (s)	10.82 ± 0.71	10.51 ± 0.67	Urban
Sit-and-reach (cm)	24.6 ± 6.2	27.3 ± 6.0	Urban
12-min run (m)	2475 ± 285	2310 ± 300	Rural

The descriptive results reveal a mixed profile rather than uniform superiority. Urban athletes record faster mean times in the 50-m sprint and shuttle run, and they show higher mean flexibility. Their mean standing broad jump is also slightly greater. Rural athletes complete more sit-ups and cover a greater distance in the 12-minute run. This pattern is important because it suggests that residence-related differences may be component specific. The largest raw difference is visible in the 12-minute run, where the rural mean exceeds the urban mean by 165 m, approximately 7.1% relative to the urban mean. Rural athletes also complete about 2.9 more sit-ups, a difference of roughly 7.9%. Urban athletes complete the shuttle run 0.31 s faster and the 50-m sprint 0.21 s faster. Their sit-and-reach score is 2.7 cm greater. The broad-jump difference of 3.7 cm is comparatively small.

Variability is reasonably similar between groups for most tests, which supports straightforward mean comparison in



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

this model. However, real datasets should formally inspect outliers, normality, and variance assumptions. When assumptions are violated, robust procedures or non-parametric alternatives may be more appropriate. Descriptive statistics alone cannot establish whether observed differences are likely to reflect more than sampling variation. Inferential statistics and effect sizes are therefore examined next.

6. Results: Inferential and Effect-Size Analysis

Variable	Mean difference (R-U)	t (approx.)	p	Cohen's d	Interpretation
50-m sprint	+0.21 s	2.23	.028	0.41	Small-moderate urban advantage
Broad jump	-3.7 cm	-0.96	.340	0.17	Trivial/small difference
Sit-ups	+2.9 reps	2.54	.012	0.46	Small-moderate rural advantage
Shuttle run	+0.31 s	2.46	.015	0.45	Small-moderate urban advantage
Sit-and-reach	-2.7 cm	-2.42	.017	0.44	Small-moderate urban advantage
12-min run	+165 m	3.08	.003	0.56	Moderate rural advantage

At the .05 level, five of the six modeled comparisons reach statistical significance. The standing broad jump does not, and its effect size is small. The largest standardized difference occurs in the 12-minute run ($d = 0.56$), indicating a moderate rural advantage in cardiorespiratory endurance. The remaining significant effects cluster around $d = 0.41$ – 0.46 , suggesting small-to-moderate practical differences rather than extreme separation between groups. The results also illustrate why direction must be interpreted carefully for timed tests. A positive rural-minus-urban difference in sprint or shuttle time means rural athletes take longer and therefore perform worse. Conversely, a positive difference in repetitions or running distance favors rural athletes. Clear reporting of the performance direction prevents misleading conclusions.

If six independent significance tests are conducted, the probability of at least one false-positive result increases. A conservative Bonferroni adjustment would set alpha near .008. Under that stricter threshold, only the 12-minute run would clearly remain significant in this illustrative dataset. This does not erase the other observed effects, but it reduces confidence that each is independently reliable. Effect sizes, confidence intervals, and replication should therefore accompany p-values. The analytical conclusion is that the strongest evidence concerns endurance, while speed, agility, flexibility, and muscular endurance show potentially meaningful but more modest group differences.

7. Discussion

7.1 Cardiorespiratory and Muscular Endurance

The modeled rural advantage in the 12-minute run is the most prominent finding. One plausible explanation is a higher volume of habitual low-to-moderate intensity activity. In some rural settings, students may walk or cycle longer



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

distances, perform physically demanding household tasks, spend more time outdoors, or engage in less sedentary transport. Repeated exposure to such activity can support aerobic capacity even when formal endurance training is limited.

The rural advantage in sit-up performance is directionally consistent with this interpretation, although sit-ups are influenced by technique, pacing, trunk strength, and familiarity with the test. Daily manual tasks and unstructured physical activity may contribute to muscular endurance, but this should not be assumed without direct measurement. It is equally possible that rural school coaches in a particular region emphasize calisthenics because they require little equipment.

Importantly, greater endurance should not be romanticized as an automatic benefit of rural life. Some rural adolescents face barriers such as poor nutrition, limited healthcare access, long travel times, fewer safe facilities, and reduced exposure to qualified coaching. Similarly, many urban adolescents are highly active and participate in endurance sports. The observed pattern should therefore be interpreted as a sample-level association produced by multiple interacting factors. From a training perspective, urban programs that observe lower aerobic endurance may benefit from structured continuous running, interval training, small-sided games, and active recovery sessions, while monitoring total workload. Rural programs may seek to preserve their endurance strengths while adding quality speed and power training. The goal is not to make one group resemble the other but to address the specific component profile identified by testing.

7.2 Speed, Agility and Flexibility

Urban athletes in the model perform better in the 50-m sprint and 4×10 -m shuttle run. These abilities are highly responsive to coaching quality, technique, repeated high-intensity practice, and access to appropriate surfaces. Urban schools or sports academies may have more opportunities for sprint drills, change-of-direction training, strength and conditioning, and frequent competition. Even relatively small technical advantages in starting position, acceleration mechanics, braking, and turning can influence field-test times. The urban advantage in flexibility may reflect greater exposure to structured warm-ups, stretching routines, gymnastics, dance, martial arts, or supervised conditioning. Flexibility is also strongly affected by sex distribution, joint structure, previous activity, and testing technique. Therefore, an observed urban–rural difference should be adjusted for sex and sport type before causal interpretation. The absence of a meaningful broad-jump difference is also informative. Explosive power depends on lower-body strength, neuromuscular coordination, body mass, and technique. Both rural and urban athletes may acquire these qualities through different activity patterns. Rural athletes may develop leg strength through outdoor activity and games, while urban athletes may gain similar benefits through structured sport and resistance training. When different pathways produce comparable physical capacities, group means may converge. These findings support a component-specific approach to conditioning. Rural athletes who are slower in sprint and agility tests may benefit from short acceleration work, plyometrics, deceleration technique, and planned change-of-direction drills. Urban athletes should not neglect endurance simply because their training environment emphasizes speed and skill. Balanced athletic development is especially important during adolescence, when excessive early specialization can narrow motor experiences.

7.3 Environmental and Socioeconomic Interpretation

A rural–urban comparison can be misleading if it ignores socioeconomic diversity within each category. Affluent



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

urban schools may have advanced facilities and professional coaching, whereas low-income urban schools may have limited safe space. Some rural schools may possess large playing fields and strong community sports cultures, while others lack equipment and transportation. The label 'rural' or 'urban' therefore compresses substantial variation. Nutrition is another important pathway. Adequate energy, protein, micronutrients, and hydration support growth, recovery, and training adaptation. Differences in food availability or household resources could affect body composition and performance. Sleep and academic schedules may also differ. Urban athletes may face longer organized training schedules and greater academic coaching demands, while rural athletes may combine school with household or agricultural responsibilities. Either pattern can influence fatigue and recovery.

Technology and sedentary behavior deserve consideration. Higher screen time can displace active play, but digital access can also provide training information and sport instruction. Likewise, motorized transport can reduce daily energy expenditure, while organized sports can compensate for it. These competing mechanisms show why direct measurement of physical activity and sedentary time is preferable to assuming behavior from residence. School policy may be one of the most modifiable factors. Frequency of physical education, access to after-school sport, teacher qualifications, equipment availability, competition schedules, and talent-development pathways can shape fitness. A well-supported rural school may outperform a poorly supported urban school and vice versa. Consequently, policy should target resource gaps and participation opportunities rather than treating location as destiny.

For researchers, multilevel analysis is particularly appropriate because athletes are nested within schools and communities. Such models can separate individual characteristics from school-level and area-level influences, producing more credible estimates than a simple two-group comparison.

8. Practical Implications for Schools and Coaches

Fitness testing is most useful when it leads to individualized and group-level programming. The present analysis suggests that rural and urban teams may require different emphases, but every athlete should still be assessed individually. Coaches should avoid labeling a student as 'fit' or 'unfit' based on a single test. A profile across endurance, speed, agility, power, muscular endurance, flexibility, and sport-specific skills provides a better basis for training decisions. For rural schools with limited equipment, effective speed and agility training can be implemented with cones, marked distances, body-weight exercises, jumping drills, partner resistance, and short sprints. Low-cost programming can substantially improve neuromuscular qualities when sessions are progressive and technically supervised. Where possible, partnerships with district sports centers or visiting coaches can strengthen technical instruction.

Urban schools should ensure that structured sport does not replace general physical activity. Athletes who travel by vehicle, spend long periods seated in classrooms or tutoring, and train only in short specialized sessions may accumulate less total daily movement than expected. Aerobic conditioning, recreational play, walking, cycling, and varied multi-sport activity can support both health and performance. Schools in both settings should standardize warm-up, testing, record keeping, and retesting intervals. Testing two or three times per academic year can reveal whether training is producing meaningful adaptation. Coaches should interpret changes relative to measurement error and maturation. A teenager may improve simply because of growth, while another may temporarily plateau during a rapid growth phase.

Finally, equal opportunity should remain a central objective. Differences in fitness should be used to direct resources, not justify lower expectations. Access to qualified instruction, safe facilities, competition, nutrition education, and



recovery support can reduce preventable disparities between schools.

9. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

The first and most important limitation of the present study is that the numerical dataset is illustrative rather than collected from actual participants. The statistics demonstrate a complete analytical approach, but they cannot be cited as empirical evidence about any specific population. A real study should obtain institutional permission, parental consent and student assent where required, and should follow appropriate ethical procedures for research involving minors. A second limitation of many rural–urban comparisons is sampling. Convenience sampling from only one or two schools may confound residence with school quality, coach quality, or local culture. Future studies should include multiple schools from each setting and, where possible, use stratified or cluster sampling. Sample-size calculations should be conducted before data collection to ensure adequate power.

Third, chronological age is an imperfect control for adolescent development. Maturity status, height, body mass, body composition, and sex should be recorded and considered statistically. Sport type and training age are equally important because a 16-year-old distance runner and a 16-year-old sprinter are expected to have different fitness profiles regardless of residence.

Fourth, field tests contain measurement error. Electronic timing is preferable for sprint tests when available, and testers should be trained to use consistent protocols. Weather, surface, footwear, motivation, and prior fatigue can affect performance. Reliability trials and standardized test order can improve data quality.

Future research should combine field testing with accelerometers or validated activity questionnaires, dietary assessment, sleep measures, facility audits, and information about coaching exposure. Multivariate regression or multilevel modeling can then estimate whether residence remains associated with fitness after relevant covariates are controlled. Longitudinal designs would be especially valuable because they can examine how changes in training and environment predict changes in fitness over time.

10. Conclusion and Recommendations

This analytical study demonstrates that rural and urban school athletes may differ across selected physical fitness components, but the pattern is unlikely to be uniform. In the illustrative analysis, rural athletes show their clearest advantage in cardiorespiratory endurance and a smaller advantage in muscular endurance. Urban athletes perform better in sprint speed, agility, and flexibility, while explosive power is similar between groups. The strongest standardized effect occurs in the 12-minute run, whereas the broad-jump difference is negligible. The most important interpretation is that these differences may reflect contrasting activity patterns, training opportunities, school resources, transportation, lifestyle, and socioeconomic conditions rather than residence alone. Rural and urban categories should therefore be treated as contextual indicators. Coaches and researchers should resist simplistic claims that one group is inherently fitter.

10.1 Recommendations

Schools should conduct multidimensional fitness assessments using standardized procedures and should use the results to plan component-specific training. Rural programs should strengthen access to technical coaching for speed, agility, strength, and power while preserving endurance-oriented activity. Urban programs should protect time for aerobic conditioning, active transport where safe, and varied recreational movement. Both settings should provide age-appropriate strength and conditioning, adequate recovery, nutrition education, and inclusive sports opportunities.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
<https://avjournals.com/> e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 12, Issue 1, January-June 2024

Researchers should collect larger, multi-school samples and report effect sizes and confidence intervals in addition to p-values. Sex, maturity status, body composition, sport type, training age, socioeconomic status, nutrition, sleep, and physical-activity volume should be measured whenever feasible. Longitudinal studies are recommended to clarify whether environmental differences precede changes in fitness.

In summary, a fair comparison of rural and urban school athletes is not a search for a winning group. It is a method for identifying different strengths, constraints, and development needs so that school sport can provide more effective and equitable athletic preparation.

References

- 1) American College of Sports Medicine. (2021). ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription (11th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
- 2) Bailey, R., Hillman, C., Arent, S., & Petitpas, A. (2013). Physical activity: An underestimated investment in human capital? *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 10(3), 289–308.
- 3) Beunen, G., & Malina, R. M. (2008). Growth and biologic maturation: Relevance to athletic performance. In H. Hebestreit & O. Bar-Or (Eds.), *The young athlete*. Blackwell.
- 4) Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 5) Faigenbaum, A. D., Lloyd, R. S., MacDonald, J., & Myer, G. D. (2016). Citius, Altius, Fortius: Beneficial effects of resistance training for young athletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50, 3–7.
- 6) Malina, R. M., Bouchard, C., & Bar-Or, O. (2004). *Growth, maturation, and physical activity* (2nd ed.). Human Kinetics.
- 7) Ortega, F. B., Ruiz, J. R., Castillo, M. J., & Sjöström, M. (2008). Physical fitness in childhood and adolescence: A powerful marker of health. *International Journal of Obesity*, 32, 1–11.
- 8) Ruiz, J. R., Castro-Piñero, J., Artero, E. G., Ortega, F. B., Sjöström, M., Suni, J., & Castillo, M. J. (2009). Predictive validity of health-related fitness in youth: A systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43, 909–923.
- 9) Strong, W. B., Malina, R. M., Blimkie, C. J. R., Daniels, S. R., Dishman, R. K., Gutin, B., et al. (2005). Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 146(6), 732–737.
- 10) Tomkinson, G. R., Lang, J. J., & Tremblay, M. S. (2019). Temporal trends in the cardiorespiratory fitness of children and adolescents. *Sports Medicine*, 49, 165–179.
- 11) World Health Organization. (2020). WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. World Health Organization.