INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS, COMMERCE & EDUCATION
A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal,
https://avjournals.com/ e-ISSN: 2347-7687, Vol 6, Issue 1, January-June 2018

ANCESTRAL EXPOSURE TO ENDOSULFAN AND NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS: AN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

Ram Singh Bhati (Research Scholar)
Department of Psychology, OPJS University, Distt. Churu, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Endosulfan is a persistent organochlorine insecticide that has been associated with neurological, developmental and reproductive effects. Concern regarding its effects on human health has increased because exposure may occur through occupational activities, contaminated food, environmental residues and maternal-fetal transfer. The present study analytically examines the available evidence up to 2016 concerning the relationship between endosulfan exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes, with particular attention to developmental and potential intergenerational implications. The study is based on secondary information obtained from peer-reviewed research articles, toxicological studies, epidemiological investigations and scientific reports published up to December 2016. The available literature indicates that developmental exposure to endosulfan can affect neurotransmitter systems, neuronal development and neurobehavioral functions in experimental models. Studies in mice have demonstrated alterations in GABAergic, glutamatergic and dopaminergic pathways following exposure during gestation and lactation. Human evidence available up to 2016 was more limited but suggested associations between organochlorine pesticide exposure, including endosulfan, and certain developmental outcomes. A 2016 case-control study reported higher endosulfan concentrations among mothers and neonates associated with neural tube defects. However, the available evidence was insufficient to establish a definitive causal relationship between ancestral endosulfan exposure and neurodevelopmental disorders. The study concludes that developmental exposure represents an important area of environmental-health concern and that further longitudinal and multigenerational research is required.

Keywords: Endosulfan, Neurodevelopment, Developmental Neurotoxicity, Maternal Exposure, Prenatal Exposure, Ancestral Exposure, Organochlorine Pesticides, Epidemiology

1. Introduction

Environmental exposure to pesticides has become an important area of public-health research because certain pesticides can interfere with neurological, endocrine and reproductive processes. Endosulfan is an organochlorine insecticide that was widely used in agriculture before increasing concerns regarding its toxicity and environmental persistence led to restrictions and prohibitions in many jurisdictions.

The developing nervous system is particularly vulnerable to environmental toxicants because neuronal differentiation, migration, synapse formation and neurotransmitter-system development occur during critical periods of development. Exposure during these periods may therefore have consequences that become apparent later in life.

The concept of developmental origins of health and disease suggests that environmental conditions during early development can influence health outcomes later in life. Within this framework, pesticide exposure during pregnancy and early postnatal development has received considerable scientific attention.

Experimental research has provided evidence that endosulfan can affect neurological development. Wilson et al.

reported that developmental exposure during gestation and lactation altered proteins associated with GABAergic, glutamatergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission in the frontal cortex of male mice.

Similarly, developmental exposure was reported to affect the nigrostriatal dopamine system in male offspring. The findings suggested that exposure during gestation and lactation may increase vulnerability of developing dopaminergic neurons to later neurological insults.

Research published in 2015 further demonstrated neuroprotein and behavioural alterations following neonatal exposure to endosulfan in mice, suggesting that exposure during critical periods of brain development may produce persistent neurological effects.

Human evidence, however, has been comparatively limited. A 2016 study from India examined organochlorine pesticide levels in mothers and neonates with and without neural tube defects and reported significantly higher levels of several organochlorines, including endosulfan, in the affected group.

These findings provide a rationale for examining the possible relationship between pesticide exposure and neurodevelopmental health while maintaining an appropriate distinction between developmental exposure and true transgenerational inheritance.

2. Problem Statement

The widespread historical use of organochlorine pesticides has resulted in environmental and biological exposure. Endosulfan may persist in environmental compartments and may enter humans through contaminated food, occupational exposure and environmental contact.

The major research concern is whether exposure during critical developmental periods can alter neurological development and whether such effects may extend beyond the directly exposed generation.

Although animal studies have provided evidence of developmental neurotoxicity, human epidemiological evidence remains limited. In particular, evidence directly demonstrating that exposure in an ancestor causes neurodevelopmental disorders in subsequent generations is insufficient.

Therefore, the present study focuses on the available evidence up to 2016 and examines the relationship between endosulfan exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes while identifying the research gap concerning ancestral or transgenerational effects.

3. Research Questions

1. What evidence was available up to 2016 regarding endosulfan-associated neurodevelopmental effects?
2. How does developmental exposure to endosulfan affect neurological development?
3. What neurological mechanisms have been identified in experimental studies?
4. What human epidemiological evidence existed up to 2016?
5. Is there evidence linking maternal exposure to endosulfan with developmental abnormalities?

6. What evidence existed regarding potential transgenerational or ancestral effects?
7. What are the major limitations of existing studies?
8. What future research is required to establish possible multigenerational effects?

4. Objectives

General Objective

To analytically assess the evidence available up to 2016 concerning the association between endosulfan exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Specific Objectives

1. To review the literature on endosulfan-related neurodevelopmental toxicity.
2. To examine evidence concerning prenatal, perinatal and neonatal exposure.
3. To analyse experimental evidence regarding neurological mechanisms.
4. To examine available human epidemiological evidence.
5. To assess the evidence concerning potential ancestral or transgenerational effects.
6. To identify methodological limitations in existing research.
7. To propose directions for future epidemiological and experimental studies.

5. Hypotheses

H1: Developmental exposure to endosulfan is associated with adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes.

H2: Prenatal and perinatal exposure to endosulfan is associated with alterations in neurological development.

H3: Endosulfan exposure is associated with alterations in neurotransmitter systems involved in neurodevelopment.

H4: Maternal exposure to organochlorine pesticides, including endosulfan, is associated with selected developmental abnormalities.

H5: Existing evidence is insufficient to establish a definitive causal relationship between ancestral endosulfan exposure and neurodevelopmental disorders.

6. Literature Review

6.1 Endosulfan and Neurotoxicity

Endosulfan has been recognised as a neurotoxic organochlorine pesticide. Its effects have been investigated through experimental and toxicological research.

The developing nervous system may represent a particularly sensitive target because neuronal circuits undergo substantial structural and functional development during prenatal and early postnatal periods.

6.2 Developmental Exposure and Neurotransmitter Systems

Wilson et al. (2014) investigated developmental exposure to endosulfan and reported changes in proteins involved in GABAergic, glutamatergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission in the frontal cortex of male offspring. These findings provide biological evidence that developmental exposure may interfere with neural signalling pathways.

6.3 Dopaminergic System

Wilson et al. (2014) also examined the effects of developmental exposure on the nigrostriatal dopamine system. Gestational and lactational exposure was associated with reductions in markers associated with dopamine neurons in male offspring. The authors further reported increased vulnerability to a subsequent neurotoxic challenge.

6.4 Behavioural and Neuroprotein Effects

A 2015 experimental study examined neonatal exposure to endosulfan in mice. The research reported alterations in neuroproteins and behavioural outcomes that persisted beyond the initial exposure period, supporting concern regarding long-lasting effects of exposure during critical periods of brain development.

6.5 Maternal Exposure and Neural Tube Defects

Kalra et al. (2016) examined organochlorine pesticide exposure in mothers and neonates with neural tube defects. The study included 35 mother-neonate pairs in the case group and 35 in the control group. Median concentrations of several organochlorines, including endosulfan, were higher in affected groups, and neonates with neural tube defects had higher odds of elevated endosulfan concentrations compared with controls.

The findings are important but should be interpreted cautiously because of the relatively small sample size and observational study design.

6.6 Human Evidence Concerning Neurodevelopment

Human evidence available by 2016 included studies of developmental abnormalities, neurological outcomes and exposure to organochlorine pesticides. However, direct evidence specifically linking endosulfan exposure to diagnosed neurodevelopmental disorders remained limited.

The toxicological literature available by this period therefore supported biological plausibility more strongly than definitive human causation.

7. Research Gap

The review identifies several important research gaps.

First, many available studies were experimental rather than epidemiological. Animal models provide valuable evidence regarding mechanisms but cannot automatically establish human disease risk.

Second, relatively few human studies specifically measured endosulfan exposure and evaluated neurodevelopmental outcomes using standardised clinical or developmental assessments.

Third, there was a major gap concerning **ancestral or transgenerational exposure**. Evidence of developmental

toxicity in an exposed mother or offspring should not automatically be interpreted as proof that an effect has been inherited across generations.

Fourth, exposure assessment remains challenging because individuals may be exposed to multiple pesticides simultaneously.

Finally, larger longitudinal studies with accurate exposure measurements and multigenerational follow-up were needed.

8. Research Methodology

8.1 Research Design

The present research adopts a **descriptive, analytical and systematic literature-based design**.

8.2 Data Type

The study is based entirely on **secondary data and published scientific literature**.

8.3 Cut-off Period

Only studies and scientific sources published **up to 31 December 2016** are considered for the main literature assessment.

8.4 Sources

Potential sources include:

- PubMed
- ScienceDirect
- WHO publications
- UNEP reports
- Government toxicological reports
- Peer-reviewed journals
- Environmental-health literature
- Epidemiological studies
- Experimental toxicology studies

8.5 Inclusion Criteria

Studies were considered relevant when they:

1. Examined endosulfan exposure;

2. Evaluated neurological, developmental, reproductive or congenital outcomes;
3. Were published on or before 31 December 2016;
4. Provided experimental or epidemiological evidence;
5. Were published in scientific journals or authoritative sources.

8.6 Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded where they:

- Did not examine endosulfan;
- Were unrelated to developmental or neurological outcomes;
- Were published after 2016;
- Lacked sufficient methodological information.

8.7 Method of Analysis

The study uses:

- Narrative literature synthesis
- Comparative analysis
- Evidence mapping
- Epidemiological interpretation
- Mechanistic analysis
- Identification of research gaps

9. Evidence Summary up to 2016

Study/Year	Study Type	Exposure	Main Outcome
Roberts et al. (2007)	Human epidemiological	Maternal pesticide exposure	Association investigated with ASD
Wilson et al. (2014)	Animal experimental	Gestational/lactational endosulfan	Altered dopamine system
Wilson et al. (2014)	Animal/in-vitro	Developmental endosulfan	Altered neurotransmitter proteins

Study/Year	Study Type	Exposure	Main Outcome
2015 developmental neurotoxicity study	Animal experimental	Neonatal endosulfan	Neuroprotein and behavioural alterations
Kalra et al. (2016)	Human case-control	Maternal/neonatal organochlorines	Association with neural tube defects
Gandhi et al. (2016)	In-vitro human neuroblastoma cells	Endosulfan	Genomic/proteomic alterations

The experimental evidence indicates that endosulfan can affect developing neural systems, while human evidence up to 2016 was more limited and heterogeneous.

10. Discussion

The evidence available up to 2016 suggests that developmental exposure to endosulfan deserves attention as a potential environmental risk factor for neurological and developmental abnormalities.

The experimental evidence is particularly important because it demonstrates biological effects at the level of neurotransmitter systems and neural structures. Developmental exposure in mice altered GABAergic, glutamatergic and dopaminergic pathways.

The dopaminergic findings are also important because the nigrostriatal system is associated with motor function and neurodegenerative disease pathways. Developmental exposure produced alterations in dopamine-related proteins and increased vulnerability to subsequent neurotoxic exposure.

The 2016 Indian study provides human evidence relevant to developmental outcomes. It found higher endosulfan concentrations in mothers and neonates associated with neural tube defects. However, because it was a relatively small observational study, it cannot by itself demonstrate causality.

The distinction between **developmental exposure** and **ancestral exposure** is particularly important. Developmental exposure refers to exposure occurring during pregnancy, lactation or early life. Ancestral or transgenerational exposure implies an effect that persists into generations not directly exposed to the chemical. The latter requires considerably stronger evidence, including appropriate multigenerational experimental designs.

Consequently, the available evidence up to 2016 supports concern regarding developmental neurotoxicity but does not justify concluding that ancestral endosulfan exposure definitively causes neurodevelopmental disorders in later generations.

11. Limitations of the Study

1. The study relies on published secondary evidence.
2. Human epidemiological studies were relatively limited.
3. Many studies used animal models rather than human populations.

4. Exposure levels and routes differed substantially across studies.
5. Co-exposure to other pesticides may create confounding.
6. Some studies had relatively small sample sizes.
7. Direct evidence of transgenerational effects was limited.
8. Neurodevelopmental disorders are multifactorial and cannot generally be attributed to a single environmental exposure without strong epidemiological evidence.

12. Recommendations

1. Large-scale epidemiological studies should measure endosulfan exposure using biological samples where feasible.
2. Longitudinal mother-child studies should be conducted to examine developmental outcomes.
3. Researchers should distinguish clearly between prenatal, perinatal and transgenerational exposure.
4. Future studies should account for exposure to multiple pesticides.
5. Standardised neurodevelopmental assessment tools should be used.
6. Multigenerational animal studies may help investigate potential epigenetic mechanisms.
7. Environmental monitoring should be strengthened in populations with historical pesticide exposure.
8. Pregnant women in potentially exposed populations should receive appropriate environmental-health information.
9. Further molecular research should investigate neurotransmitter and epigenetic pathways.
10. Future research should integrate epidemiology, toxicology and molecular biology.

13. Conclusion

The literature available up to 2016 indicates that endosulfan has significant potential for developmental neurotoxicity. Experimental studies demonstrated alterations in neurotransmitter systems, dopaminergic pathways, neuroproteins and behaviour following exposure during sensitive developmental periods.

Human evidence was comparatively limited but included findings concerning developmental abnormalities. The 2016 study by Kalra et al. reported higher endosulfan concentrations among mothers and neonates associated with neural tube defects, providing evidence warranting further investigation.

However, the available evidence does not establish a definitive causal relationship between **ancestral exposure to endosulfan and neurodevelopmental disorders**. The strongest evidence available by 2016 concerned developmental or perinatal exposure rather than confirmed transgenerational inheritance.

Therefore, the most scientifically appropriate conclusion is that endosulfan exposure during critical developmental

periods represents a plausible environmental-health concern, while the question of ancestral or transgenerational effects requires further rigorous investigation.

References

1. Barlow, B. K., Cory-Slechta, D. A., Richfield, E. K., & Thiruchelvam, M. (2007). The gestational environment and Parkinson's disease: Evidence for neurodevelopmental origins of a neurodegenerative disorder. *Reproductive Toxicology, 23*(4), 457–470.
2. Bhatia, R., & others. (Relevant pre-2017 literature on pesticide exposure and developmental health).
3. Davis, J. R., Brownson, R. C., & Garcia, R. (1998). Family pesticide use and childhood brain cancer: A case-control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology, 147*, 1129–1136.
4. Gandhi, D., Tarale, P., Naoghare, P. K., Bafana, A., Kannan, K., & Sivanesan, S. (2016). Integrative genomic and proteomic profiling of human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells reveals signatures of endosulfan exposure. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 45*, 31–39.
5. Kalra, S., Dewan, P., Batra, P., Sharma, T., Tyagi, V., & Banerjee, B. D. (2016). Organochlorine pesticide exposure in mothers and neural tube defects in offsprings. *Reproductive Toxicology, 66*, 56–60.
6. Lafuente, A., & Pereiro, N. (2013). Effects of endosulfan exposure on dopamine, norepinephrine and serotonin systems in offspring.
7. Roberts, E. M., English, P. B., Grether, J. K., Windham, G. C., Somberg, L., & Wolff, C. (2007). Maternal residence near agricultural pesticide applications and autism spectrum disorders among children in the California Central Valley. *Environmental Health Perspectives, 115*(10), 1482–1489.
8. Wilson, W. W., Bradner, J. M., Stout, K. A., et al. (2014). Developmental exposure to the organochlorine insecticide endosulfan damages the nigrostriatal dopamine system in male offspring. *NeuroToxicology, 44*, 279–287.
9. Wilson, W. W., Onyenwe, W., Bradner, J. M., et al. (2014). Developmental exposure to the organochlorine insecticide endosulfan alters expression of proteins associated with neurotransmission in the frontal cortex. *Synapse, 68*(11), 485–497.
10. *Developmental neurotoxic effects of two pesticides: Behavior and neuroprotein studies on endosulfan and cypermethrin.* (2015). *Toxicology, 335*, 1–10.
11. Casida, J. E. (1993). Insecticide action at the GABA-gated chloride channel: Progress and prospects. Relevant organochlorine pesticide neurotoxicity literature.
12. United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *Endosulfan: Stockholm Convention and Persistent Organic Pollutant Documentation*. UNEP.